Psychology of Civil Rights Using Paired-Comparison Testing to Develop a Social

June 2004 SPSSI Biennial Conference

Marc Bendick, Jr., Ph.D.

www.bendickegan.com Bendick and Egan Economic Consultants Washington, DC

Paired Comparison Testing

Pairs of Job Applicants

0

- Resumes written to give equal qualifications
- Selected and Trained to be similar in work-related behavior
- Apply concurrently
- Differ in one demographic characteristic
- 0 Rigor and relevance: Controlled experiment in real situations
- 0 Where stories are more influential than statistics, testing creates stories that are statistics.

· Uses:

- Public Education: "Still a Problem"
- Litigation: "Caught You Red-Handed"
- Monitoring: "Secret Shopper"
- Research Opportunities
- Ideal for student involvement
- Public impact
- Unexploited potential to relate to theory
- A technique in its infancy



Table One averages:

- African American
- HispanicsWomen
- Older

16% 14%

25% 28%

Intervening Variables

- Industry, locality, business cycle
- Race gender interaction
- Stigma of criminal record
- Level of credentials
- Job-seeking strategies

Studies of Employment Discrimination in the U.S. Labor Market, 1990-2003, Using Paired Comparison Testing^a Table One

Demographic Contrast				Afric	African-American / White	White		‡ 	
Authors	Turner, Flx & Struyk [35]	James & DelCastillo [20]	Bendick, Jackson & Reinoso [6]	Nunes and Seligman [28]	Bertrand & Mullainathan [9]	Pager [33]	Lodder, McFarland & White [25]	Lodder, McFarland & White [25]	Busey & Trasvina [11]
Year of Testing	1990	1990	1990 - 1991	1999	2001	2001	2001	2003	2003
Number of Tests	476	145	149	45	130	350	80	169	109
Labor Market	Chicago, Washington	Denver	Washington	San Francisco	Boston, Chicago	Milwaukee	Chicago	Chicago	San Francisco, Los Angeles
Source of Job Sample	newspaper ads	newspaper ads	newspaper ads, industry lists, walk-ins	industry lists	newspaper ads	newspaper ads, internet lists	newspaper ads, internet ads, walk-ins	newspaper ads, internet ads	industry lists
Method of Application	in-person	in-person	in-person	in-person	mailed resumes	in-person	in-person	mailed resumes	in-person
Education in Resumes	high school diploma	high school diploma	2 years of college	2 years of college	high school dropout to college graduate	high school diploma	high school diploma	high school diploma	2 years of college
Industry or Occupation Sampled	mixed entry- level	mixed entry- level	mixed entry- level	employment agencies	mixed entry- level	mixed entry- level	suburban retail	suburban retail	employment agencies
Interacting Characteristics	поле	none	none	none	qualifications, neighborhood	criminal necord	customer treatment	skill	none
Net Rate of Discrimination ^c	13% ^b	2%	24%	38%	3% ^b	17%	12%	5% ⁵	31%

^aAdapted and updated from Bendick (1999), p. 56.
^bBased on only first part of application process.
^c Difference in success rate for presumed favored group and success rate for presumed disfavored group.

Table One - continued

Net Rate of Discrimination	Interacting Characteristics	Industry or Occupation Sampled	Education in Resumes	Method of Application	Source of Job Sample	Labor Market	Number of Tests	Year of Testing	Authors	Contrast
20%	none	mixed entry- level	high school diploma	in-person	newspaper ads	Chicago, San Diego	360	1989	Cross, Kennedy, Mell & Zimmerman [12]	
-10%	none	mixed entry- level	high school graduates	in-person	newspaper ads	Denver	140	1990	James & DelCastillo [20]	
22%	none	mixed entry- level	some college	telephane	newspaper ads	Washington	282	1992	Bendick, Jackson, Reinoso & Hodges [7]	Hispanic / Anglo
> 12%	none	mixed entry- level, employment agences	college graduate	mailed resumes	newspaper ads, industry lists	Washington	186	1992	Bendick, Jackson, Reinoso & Hodges [7]	•
25%	none	mixed entry- level, employment agencies	some college	telephone	newspaper ads, industry lists	Washington	122	2002	Firestone, Yanoff & Montenegro	
40%	restaurant price range	restaurants	high school diploma	in-person	industry list	Philadelphia	65	1994	Neumark [27]	
27%	none	auto service shops	high school diploma	in-person	newspaper ads, industry lists	San Francisco	40	1999	Nunes & Seligman [28]	Female / Male
8%	none	construction trades	high school diploma	in-person	industry list	San Francisco San Francisco	24	2003	Discriml- nation Research Center [14]	10
17%	попе	entry-level office and retail	not documented	in-person	newspaper ads	Boston	49	1993	MCAD [26]	
27% ^b	cover letter strategy	mixed sales, office, and professional	college graduates	mailed resumes	lists	nationwide	big	1993	Bendick, Jackson & Romero [8]	Older / Younger
41%	none	sales and managerial	college graduates	in-person	newspaper ads	Washington	102	1995 - 1996	Bendick, Brown & Wall [5]	.

Frequency of Discrimination: Research Opportunities

Useful Additional Ad-Hoc Empiricism

- . O More Locale/Industry Studies -- map the labor market
- 0 Additional Groups: Disabilities, Sexual orientation, Obesity
- Proposed Annual National Report Card (housing + employment + consumer + ...)

Much More Useful if Were Guided by Social Psych Theory

- 0 Testing on all aspects of life of one person, count cumulative impact and illuminate sense of "2 separate nations"
- 0 Testing same employer for discrimination against multiple groups, measure t extent to which discrimination is group specific or a generic characteristic of an organizational culture



- Race: Polite treatment, unequal Outcomes (see Table Two)
- Age discrimination at the beginning, at the end whereas race discrimination arose
- Content and role of stereotypes revealed in micro-inequities
- Employment agencies: contracting out the dirty work

The Experiences of African American and White Testers During the Job Application Process in 149 Tests for Entry-Level Jobs in the Washington, DC Labor Market, 1990-1991 ^a

Table Two

	Indicator	Furthest Stage Reached	White Tester	African American Tester	Af. Am. / White
35		Application	26.3%	24.6%	93.5%
3	Met with a Decision-Waker	Interview	73.3%	79.2%	108.0%
970099		Job Offer	89.5%	83.3%	93.1%
		Application	15.7	16.1	102.5%
	Minutes of Contact	Interview	17.2	13.3	77.3%
Treatment		Job Offer	22.2	23.3	105.0%
		Application	8.0	0.82	102.5%
20	Number of Topics Discussed	Interview	2.98	3.02	101.3%
		Job Offer	3.33	2.5	75.1%
	% Moving to the Next Stage	Application	94.60%	91.90%	97.1%
	of the state of th	Interview	71.00%	67.90%	95.6%
		Application	0.22	0.13	59.1%
2	Number of Comments by Employer	Interview	0.83	0.51	61.4%
Judgments		Job Offer	1.75	1.5	85.7%
Expressed		Application	0.5	0.4	86.0%
	Ratio of Positive Comments to Negative Comments	Interview	2.9	0.5	18.3%
		Job Offer	35.5	0.0	0.0%
•	Received Job Offer	Job Offer	46.9%	11.3%	24.1%
Outcomes	Hourly Wage	Job Offer	\$5.45	\$5.30	97.2%
	Offered Advertised Job	Job Offer	98.0%	94.6%	96.5%

^a Adapted from Bendick, Jackson & Reinoso [8], p.40.

Mechanisms of Discrimination: Research Opportunities

- Identify cultures and practices which discriminators tests + followup differentiate discriminators and noninterviews w/employers)
- Psycholinguistic analysis of tape transcripts of job interviews (only in "1 party states")
- Study attitude change in testers as a result of exposure to this experience

REFERENCES ON PAIRED-COMPARISON TESTING APPLIED TO EMPLOYMENT

- [1] Bendick, Marc. Jr., (1999). "Adding Testing to the Nation's Portfolio of Information on Employment Discrimination," in Michael Fix and Margery Austin Turner, A National Report Card on Discrimination: The Role of Testing. Washington: The Urban Institute, pp. 47-68.
- [2] Bendick, Marc, Jr. (1989). Auditing Race Discrimination in Hiring: A Research Design. Washington: Bendick & Egan Economic Consultants, Inc. for The Urban Institute.
- [3] Bendick, Marc, Jr. (1996). Discrimination Against Racial/Ethnic Minorities in Access to Employment in the United States, Empirical Results from Situation Testing. Geneva: International Labour Office.
- [4] Bendick, Marc, Jr. (1995). "Research Evidence on Racial/Ethnic Discrimination and Affirmative Action in Employment." Testimony before the Assembly Committee on the Judiciary, California State Legislature, May 4, 1995.
- [5] Bendick, Marc, Jr., Lauren E. Brown and Kennington Wall (1999). "No Foot in the Door, An Experimental Study of Employment Discrimination Against Older Workers." Journal of Aging and Social Policy, 10 (4), pp. 5-23.
- [6] Bendick, Marc, Jr., Charles Jackson and Victor Reinoso (1994). "Measuring Employment Discrimination Through Controlled Experiments." Review of Black Political Economy, 23: 25-48.
- [7] Bendick, Marc, Jr., Charles Jackson, Victor Reinoso and Laura Hodges (1991). "Discrimination Against Latino Job Applicants: A Controlled Experiment." Human Resource Management, 30: 469-484.
- [8] Bendick, Marc, Jr., Charles Jackson and J. Horacio Romero (1996). "Employment Discrimination Against Older Workers: An Experimental Study of Hiring Practices." Journal of Aging and Social Policy, 8: 25-46.
- [9] Bertrand, Marianne, and Sendhil Mullainathan (2002). Are Emily and Brendan More Employable than Lakisha and Jamai? Unpublished manuscript, Department of Economics, MIT.
- [10] Boggs, Roderick, Joseph Sellers and Marc Bendick, Jr. (1993). "Use of Testing in Civil Rights Enforcement." In Michael Fix and Raymond Struyk (eds.). Clear and Convincing Evidence: Measurement of Discrimination in America. Washington: Urban Institute Press: 345-376.
- [11] Bussey, Jenny, and John Trasvina (2003). Racial Preferences: The Treatment of White and African American Job Applicants by Temporary Employment Agencies in California. Berkeley, CA: Discrimination Research Center of The Impact Fund.
- [12] Cross, H. G., J. Kennedy, J. Mell, and W. Zimmerman (1990). *Employer Hiring Practices:*Differential Treatment of Hispanic and Anglo Job Applicants. Washington: The Urban Institute.

- [13] Culp, Jerome and Bruce Dunson (1986). "Brothers of a Different Color: A Preliminary Look at Employer Treatment of Black and White Youth." In Richard Freeman and Harry Holzer. The Black Youth Employment Crisis. Chicago: University of Chicago Press: 233-259.
- [14] Discrimination Research Center and Equal Rights Advocates (2004). *Proposition 209 and the Decline of Women in the Construction Trades*. Berkeley, CA: Discrimination research center of The Impact Fund.
- [15] Fair Employment Council (1993). *EEO Testing Manual*. Washington: Fair Employment Council of Greater Washington.
- [16] Firestone, Richard, Amy Yanoff, and Alejandro Montenegro (2002). *Employment Discrimination Against Latinos in the Washington, D.C. Area.* Washington: Arnold & Porter.
- [17] Fix, Michael and Raymond Struyk, eds. (1993). Clear and Convincing Evidence, Measurement of Discrimination in America. Washington: Urban Institute Press.
- [18] Fix, Michael and Margery Austin Turner, eds. (1999). A National Report Card on Discrimination: The Role of Testing. Washington: The Urban Institute.
- [19] Gringart, Eyal, and Edward Helmes (2001). "Age Discrimination in Hiring Practices Against Older Adults in Western Australia: The Case of Accounting Assistants." Australasian Journal on Aging 20 (1, March), pp. 23-28.
- [20] James, Franklin, and Steve DelCastillo (1992). We May be Making Progress Toward Equal Access to Jobs: Evidence From Recent Audits. Denver: University of Colorado at Denver.
- [21] Kenney, Genevieve, and Douglas Wissoker (1994). "An Analysis of the Correlates of Discrimination Facing Young Hispanic Job-Seekers." American Economic Review, 84: 674-83.
- [22] Landever, Michele (1993). "Tester Standing in Employment Discrimination Cases under 42 USC 1981." Cleveland State University Law Review 41 (2), p. 381.
- [23] Levinson, R.M. (1975). "Sex Discrimination and Employment Practices: An Experiment with Unconventional Job Inquiries." Social Problems (22), pp. 533-543.
- [24] Lodder, LeAnn (1994). Employment Testing for Civil Rights Enforcement, An Operations Manual. Chicago: Legal Assistance Foundation of Chicago.
- [25] Lodder, LeAnn, Scott McFarland, and Diana White (2003). Racial Preferences and Suburban Employment Opportunities. Chicago: Legal Assistance Foundation of Metropolitan Chicago.
- [26] MCAD (1994). Age Testing Report. Boston: Commonwealth of Massachusetts Commission Against Discrimination.
- [27] Neumark, David (1996). "Sex Discrimination in Restaurant Hiring: An Audit Study." Quarterly Journal of Economics, XX: 915-940.
- [28] Nunes, Ana, and Brad Seligman (2000). A Study of the Treatment of Female and Male Applicants by San Francisco Bay Area Auto Service Shops. Berkeley, CA: Discrimination Research Center of The Impact Fund.

- [29] Nunes, Ana, and Brad Seligman (1999). Treatment of Caucasian and African-American Applicants by San Francisco Bay Area Employment Agencies: Results of a Study Utilizing "Testers". Berkeley, CA: Discrimination Research Center of The Impact Fund.
- [30] Oh, Alex Y.K. (1993). "Using Employment Testers to Detect Discrimination: An Ethical and Legal Analysis." Georgetown Journal of Legal Ethics VII (2, Fall), p. 473.
- [31] Riach, P.A., and J. Rich (1991). "Measuring Discrimination by Direct Experimental Methods: Seeking Gunsmoke." *Journal of Post-Keynesian Economics* (14), pp. 143 150.
- [32] Riach, P.A., and J. Rich (1991). "Testing for Racial Discrimination in the Labour Market." Cambridge Journal of Economics (15), pp. 239-256.
- [33] Riach, P.A., and J. Rich (1987). "Testing for Sexual Discrimination in the Labour Market." Australian Economic Papers (26), pp. 165-178.
- [34] Pager, Devah (2003). "The Mark of a Criminal Record." American Journal of Sociology 108(5): 937-975.
- [35] Turner, Margery, Michael Fix & Raymond Struyk (1991). Opportunities Denied, Opportunities Diminished: Racial Discrimination in Hiring. Washington: The Urban Institute.
- [36] Winston, N.A. (1988). "Sex-Bias Response to Telephoned Job Inquiries, Tampa, 1987." Sociology and Social Research (72), pp. 121-124.
- [37] Wymer, John F., and Deborah A. Sudbury (1992). "Employment Discrimination 'Testers': Will Your Hiring Practices 'Pass'?" *Employee Relations Law Journal* (17, 4) (Spring), pp. 623-633.
- [38] Yelmolinsky, M. (1993). "Filling an Enforcement Void, Using testers to Uncover and Remedy Discrimination in hiring for lower-skilled, entry-level jobs." *University of Michigan Journal of Law Reform* 26 (2), pp. 404-459.